

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 3RD SESSION OF THE 3RD MIZORAM
LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY HELD AT THE ASSEMBLY HALL
FROM FEBRUARY TO 29TH - FEBRUARY, 1980.

3RD SITTING ON 26TH FEBRUARY, 1980 AT 10:30 A.M.

Pu C. Chawngkunga, Deputy Speaker, at the Chair, Chief Minister, four Ministers and 26 Members were present.

BUSINESS

1. Questions entered in separate list to be asked and answers given.

PRESENTATION OF REPORTS.

2. Pu J. Thankunga to present the Fourth Report of the Public Accounts Committee.
3. Pu Zairemthanga, Minister i/c Parliamentary Affairs to present the Fourth Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

DISCUSSION ON ADMINISTRATOR'S ADDRESS.

4. Discussion on the Motion of Thanks on the Administrator's Address (to continue).

DEPUTY SPEAKER : "Lord; who shall abide in thy tabernacle?
Who shall dwell in thy holy hill? He
that walketh uprightly, and worketh righteousness,
and speaketh the truth in his heart. He that backbiteth,
not with his tongue, nor doeth evil to his neighbour,
nor taketh up a reproach against his neighbour. In whose eyes
a vile person is contemned; but the honoureth them that fear the
Lord. He that sweareth to his own hurt, and changed not. He that
putteth not out his money to usur, nor taketh reward against
the innocent. He that doeth these things shall never be moved.
(Sam: 15: 1-5).

Now let us start our today's business List of business No. 1 is questions. Let us take up Pu Sainghaka's question first. Let Pu Sainghaka ask his question.

PU SAINGHAKA : Pu Deputy Speaker,, my question No. 11th.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : We'll call upon Minister i/c to give a reply.

PU LALHMINGTHANGA MINISTER : Pu Deputy Speaker, the answer to the hon'ble member's question is as follows :-

...2/-

"Yes, Government intends to re-organise the Revenue, Excise and Taxation Department and the matter is under process.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Any supplementary question ?

PU SAINGHAKI : Pu Deputy Speaker, before I ask a supplementary question. I would request the Minister to give his answer in Mizo. In Aizawl District the L.S.C. is placed under the Directorate whereas in Lunglei District the Deputy Commissioner has the sole power over the L.S.C. Again, in Aizawl, when there is a trouble in L.S.C. it is referred to Deputy Commissioner. In there any intention to include this in the re-organisation ? How is it that in Aizawl the Taxation 'C' Form and the Entertainment Tax are dealt with by the Secretariat which in Lunglei seems to be dealt with by the Deputy Commissioner ? It is likely to be taken up by the field staff but there is no field staff in the Secretariat I want classification of this. Thirdly, I would like to know whether there is intention to centralise the technical staff like Overseer and Surveyor and amalgamate them with other department technical staff.

PU LAHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, there are some truths
MINISTER in the speech of the honourable member.
In the present situation we have no officer to act as Director at Lunglei in connection with the Land Settlement Certificate. Revenue Department had been re-organised on June 6, 1977 before the present Ministry was set up and the Deputy Commissioner Lunglei had been authorised by the order of the re-organisation issued under No. REV.32/75/75 of 6.6.1977 to dispose of the matter of Land Settlement. As the government order put the Land Settlement Certificate under the Directorate in Aizawl District it may seem that there is different functions between the two Districts in this regard, and that seems to be what the member pointed out. Because we have no Directorate in Lunglei the government suitably entrusted the matter to the Deputy Commissioner instead of placing another authority.

Concerning taxation also, the convenient authority and office is still Lunglei D.C. Therefore, although both of Aizawl and Lunglei are regarded as Districts yet the condition only differs according to the convenience and the Officers. I hope, therefore, that the information given by the Member may well be an eye opener in regard to the proposed reorganisation.

PU SAINGHAKI : Pu Deputy Speaker, my Supplementary question regarding technical staff is not yet answered.

PU LAHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the matter relating
MINISTER to technical staff is like this though I cannot give the exact date and time, the government has decided to make one pay range department for all the technical staff within Union Territory and the Government is functioning accordingly. The pay range of P.W.D. will generally be followed. The reason for this is that, for

instance - The services of the senior incumbents are highly required in Education Department, Veterinary Department or Revenue Department. The year, and the expenditure incurred by them to obtain the academic qualification for Senior Engineer in the same as that of the Engineers under the P.W.D. But unfortunately for those who are in a small department there can be no chance of promotion. Therefore, the Government has made this decision to open wide chance to those persons of the same qualification for their promotions and privileges in future. The Government is now taking steps in that way, however, there may be some departments where all these have not been fulfilled.

PU SAINGHAKA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I still have one more question. In view of the present work load the staff of the Revenue Branch under Mizawl Deputy Commissioner are fewer than those at Lunglei, whereas the work-load at Mizawl appears to be three-fold of the work-load at Lunglei. Will it be possible to increase the staff immediately ?

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I cannot say that, I do not know whether the statement of the member is true or not that the staff at Mizawl are fewer in consideration of the work-load. I cannot even give information to the House as to where more staff is required. Any how, since the honourable member has said like that, we shall look into it and it is true that more staff should be placed where the work load is more.

PU J.THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, it is learnt that the public are facing difficulties since this department has been under the Deputy Commissioner. For example :- regarding the allotment of land for house site and other purposes, no application for house site has yet been settled even after a number of years. Is there any proposal to detach this Revenue Department from the Deputy Commissioner ?

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the points raised by the honourable member are to be examined when re-organisation is considered.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, this is very important. There are many house-site applicants. Is it a fact that the Board has never had its sitting to allot house-site since the Dy. Commissioner has been invested with the power of house site allotment ? If so, it means that he cannot work. Can it not be returned to the concerned Directorate as soon as possible ? Will you please tell me that point more definitely ? This needs immediate change as there are many people without house-site. Will the Minister be pleased to tell me whether the Board has had a sitting at least once ?

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, so far as my knowledge is concerned they did not have a sitting and the D.C. is invested with the power

of allotting site for houses and shops with effect from 6th June 1977.

Accordingly the Board has been constituted from this date, I had never heard of the sitting of the Board at Aizawl. Regarding Lunglei, I got information from the member that the Board had its sitting once the other day. Let me say a bit further. It will be necessary for the members to know how the Deputy Commissioner is empowered. At 11 A.M. on the 12th August, 1975, the matter of giving power to the D.C. for allotment of House-sites had been first discussed in the meeting of Sr. Officers in the office of the Revenue Minister and under the Chairmanship of Revenue Minister.

By that time, the Government had a proposal to form a committee for Mizoram Land and Revenue Bill. The proposal initiated by the office of Minister i/c had been discussed as Agenda item no. 8 by the Council of Minister on 19th August, 1976 in the Chief Minister's office. By this time no decision had been made pending the finalisation of the matter by the members of the Committee for Land Revenue 1976 and the matter be reviewed thereafter. On the 7th February, 1977 the Lt. Governor and the Ministers had discussed the matter at Raj Niwas. After this, circular was issued to all Ministers on 14th March, 1977. Notifications No. REV. 32/75/75 was issued on the 6th June, 1977 investing the Deputy Commission and the S.D.O. with the power of allotting land. From that time power has been delegated to the D.C. & S.D.O. and is continued till date. I want the Members to know the background as we are sitting together here to discuss the welfare of Mizoram. The Government has delegated power to the D.C. and S.D.O. in regard to allotment of site for shops, stalls and issue of patta. This does not however mean that the Government can do nothing in this regard. This is our position today. If any suggestion is made by this House for the change of the policy in future the Government will highly appreciate and try to pursue it.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, this is not workable unless a separate officer for this purpose is engaged as before, this cannot be functioned well.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Now, let's take up Pu K. Lalsanga's Starred Question No. 12.

PU K. LALSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, my question No. 12th is as below :-

"Whether any Rat Trap supply was received by Agriculture Department from 1979 -80 amounting to Rs. 1,000/= (Rupees one thousand) were et cage rectangular type and 1,500/- wire net cage Chittah Special type without Government's sanctioning order and without calling tender ?

PU P. B. ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, During 1979-80, no rat trap has been bought by Agriculture Department.
MINISTER

PU K. LALSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Supplementary question. If no rat trap was received for Mizoram between 1979 and 1980, is there any rat

trap purchased between 1977 and 1979? Secondly, had 1000 Wire net cage rectangular type and 1500 Chittah Special type of rat trap received on 24.10.1979? Supposing these were all received, were they receive on government order? If those were received without government order, is there any possibility of receiving them without government order? Can this be answered?

PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, a number of rat traps
MINISTER had been bought during the year 1977-79. However, it is known that some of them purchased during 1978-79 were received late due to transport problem. Since work order had readily been given in connection with the receipt no fresh order was necessary.

PU K.LALSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Is it known to be true that the rat trap were received on 24.10.1979? Were they supplied on government order?

PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Today, I can't inform
MINISTER the honourable member whether that was received or not. It being so, I can't tell whether order has been made or not. If it is necessary I'll give information later on.

PU SAIKAPTHIANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, The Minister can't answer the respected member's question. Did he not consult the department concerned at the time of making his question?

PU P.B. ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, that does not relates
MINISTER to this question. Answer to his question was given. Date and order was asked in his Supplementary question; that is what I can't answer today.

PU HIPHEI : Pu Deputy Speaker, who is to decide whether the question is relevant or not?

PU J.THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, it is learnt that many rat traps had been bought. Has any rat been trapped with those traps?

PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, it is for the purpose
MINISTER of killing rats during Thingtam famine and after that many rats traps were purchased and many rats were killed by these traps.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Question No. 13 of Pu Ellis Saidenga.

PU ELLIS SAIDENGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, my question No. 13 is as below -

(a) The reasons for delay in opening Gram Sevak Training Centre at Hnahthial irrespective of the fact that Government proposed to start the class in 1979.

(b) When do the Government propose to open Gram Sevak Training

Centre at Hnahthial ; and

(c) What progress has been made in that regard ?

PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the question no.13
MINISTER (a) contains the reasons for delay in opening Gram Sevak Training Centre at Hnahthial in spite of the fact that Government proposed to start the class in 1979. The answer is that the Government has no sufficient requisites for the opening. Reply to No.(b) is that the Government is trying to open it as soon as teachers are available.

The answer to the question of what progress has been made in that regard is that Principal i/c was posted at the training centre. P.W.D. undertakes construction of the building, that will be completed within this month. Furniture and other necessary things are being prepared.

PU ELLIS SAIDENGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, as we have learnt, it was prepared to be opened in the month of September 1979. Houses have been arranged for hire before quarters and the buildings for holding class can be built. Furniture also was made for it. One officer was appointed as principal. Anyway, the buildings are still un-occupied and the appointed principal is never seen till now. Where is he ? The Honourable Minister said that furniture and other necessary things have been made. Is it not true that the furniture and the buildings for occupation have been ready last year ?

PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, most of the works
MINISTER had been done. However, class cannot be started due to non-availability of teaching staff. Regarding where the principal is - the Government had already posted principal i/c at Hnahthial in September, 1979. According to the Government's information he is at Hnahthial.

PU LALTHANZAUVA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the Honourable Minister said that class can be started as soon as teachers are available. When will the teacher be available ? We have already listened that the construction of the building was finished. We also have listened earlier that the building will be finished within the month of June. Which of the building to be finished in the month of June?

PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Regarding the time
MINISTER when we shall have the teachers I would say that all the posts have been sanctioned. We shall have the teachers whenever appointments are made to these posts. Regarding completion of construction of buildings stated by the Member and the questioner I would say that no building has yet been completed except that it is hoped to complete in the month of June.

...7/-

- PU P.LALUPA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Do the Government know that it was intended to open the class in 1979 ? Just now we are informed that the class cannot be started due to non-availability of the teachers, furniture and buildings. I would like to ask, "Did they not collect all these requirements at the time of taking over it in 1979 ?"
- PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, arrangement was made to maintain clerk in 1979. In connection with this, private building and public building such as Community Hall was arranged. Also, furniture and other necessary things were being arranged. However, class could not start in 1979 since teachers were not available at that time.
MINISTER
- PU C.L.RUALA : Pu Deputy Speaker, we have listened that Hnahthial Gram Sevak Training Centre cannot yet be opened and at the same time we have also listened that a principal has been posted at Hnahthial. What is the use of posting the principal if that training centre was not opened?
- PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, At Hnahthial, Integrated Agriculture Training Centre was prepared by the Agriculture Department. Building was being constructed for this purpose. Responsibility was given to Principal to look after the contractor.
MINISTER
- PU H.RAMMAWI : Pu Deputy Speaker, our Hon'ble Minister said that class can be started as soon as teacher is available. How far the Government try to get teacher ? Can our Hon'ble Minister tell the House ?
- PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, our respected member might not have paid his attention to what I have said or few minutes ago. The posts of those teachers have been already sanctioned and Administrative approval has been obtained. We'll invite applications for staff following to the rule. Then only, class can start when teachers are available.
MINISTER
- DEPUTY SPEAKER : Question time is up. Time is opened now for another question.
- PU ELLIS SAIDENGA : Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, Since this being my question, allow me to make one more supplementary question (Deputy Speaker :- Only one question is allowed). Is the Government going to pay the rent for those buildings already arranged for holding the class in 1979 ? Is it not a mere expenditure ? The Minister said that the Principal is now at Hnahthial, but it is known that he is not there. If he is not there, what action do the Government intend to take against him ?

PU P.B.ROSANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, so far as my knowledge
MINISTER is concerned, the building was public
building being Community Hall, that was
arranged with the consent of BDO, A.O. and leaders of the town.
If there is any private house occupied on rent, it must be care-
fully looked into. If the Principal left the post without Govern-
ment permission as stated by the member, his case will be legal-
ly viewed.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Question No. 14 of Pu H.Rammawi.

PU H.RAMMAWI : Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, my question No. 14
is as follows :-

(a) "Is it a fact that no provision is
made for the absorption of Senior and qualified District Council
officer in Mizoram Government Service, and (b) If so, will the
Government make provision for encadrement of these senior and
qualified officers of the District Councils in the M.C.S or any
appropriate service ?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, the answer to the
CHIEF MINISTER question No. (a) is 'Yes', and for (b)
is that it is not possible to include any
post under such autonomous body like the District Council in
the list of feeder posts for M.C.S. as the same are not the
posts or services under the Government.

PU H.RAMMAWI : Pu Deputy Speaker, supplementary question-
there are three District Councils in
Mizoram, which are Pawl District Council,
Chakma District Council and Lakher District Council. There are
senior officers in these District Councils one Executive Officer
has put in 17 years of service. He is a senior qualified officer,
but there is not any prospect for him in the District Council
except annual increment. I would like to have such officers absor-
bed in M.C.S. or other appropriate service, but the Minister-in-
charge said that that is completely impossible. Can the House
find a way to make it possible ? If this House found it good,
that can be made possible. Under the North Eastern Re-organi-
sation Act 1971 also all the District Council Services have
been absorbed. Cannot be it done like-wise?

PU HIPHEI : Pu Deputy Speaker, some of the Ministers
use to read the answer at the time of
answering questions. I cannot follow the
rapid reading in English. Rules 283 provides that the document
should be laid on the Table. We cannot follow the rapid reading
unless the copy is seen. Let him please answer by speaking and
not by reading.

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, regarding the honourable
CHIEF MINISTER member's question, M.C.S. Rule was made
in December, 1977. Under that rule D/C Officers cannot be taken.
However, regarding the question whether absorption could be made
of those qualified officers of the D/C in the Union Territory
Service I would say the D/C may make proposal or application to

the Government for examination if there can be any arrangement for absorption.

PU H. RAMMAWI : Pu Deputy Speaker, supplementary question. If our Government is facing difficulties in this regard under the M.C.S. rule; can it be amended? It is only a proposal, will it be difficult to amend it?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO : Pu Deputy Speaker, the M.C.S. rule might not meet our desire. It was made in the end of 1977. There is a proposal to amend it at the earliest convenience. However, I don't think it will be an easy task to make it so as to accommodate such officers.
CHIEF MINISTER

DEPUTY SPEAKER : We'll go to question No. 15 Which is the question of Pu J. Thankunga.

PU J. THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, my question's No. 15- (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) is as follows.-

(a) The basis and idea on which recruitment of Civil Service class II officers (known as the M.C.S) was made in 1974 and 1977;

(b) Why were these officers not included in the cadre of Mizoram Civil Service when the Rules were framed;

(c) Are these officers now in Group 'B' of Government service;

(d) If they are placed in Group 'B' Why are they being paid in a Pay scale below the standard minimum pay scale prescribed for Group 'B' Officers ;

(e) If they are not included in Group 'B' have they been placed in Group 'C' as non-gazetted officers. If so, what are the justifications ; and

(f) Has the Government of Mizoram made any effort to improve their conditions?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, the answer to the question No. 15 is given below -
CHIEF MINISTER

(a) Recruitment to Civil Service class II made in 1974 and 1977 was made on the basis of the results of the competitive examination held for the purpose.

(b) The Mizoram Civil Service Rules were made by the President of India in conformity with those of other Union Territories in which the officers of this category were not included.

(c) The answer is ' Yes'.

(d) They are not being paid in a pay scale below the minimum pay scale prescribed for Group 'B' officers. The maximum of the pay scale of these officers is Rs 900/- while the prescribed maximum of pay scale for Group 'B' officers is not less than Rs. 900/- but less than Rs. 1300/-.

(e) The question does not arise.

...10/-

(f) The question of constituting a separate service known as subordinate Mizoram Civil Service for these officers is under consideration of Government.

PU J.THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the answer given by the Chief Minister is vague in many respects. In 1974 and 1977 many persons were appointed as Zoram Civil Service class II which can clearly be seen from the Notice for examination paper for M.C.S., question papers, M.C.S. Marksheet, Admit Card and appointment. Their services are like that of A.C.S. in Assam and some of them have come up to 1st class Magistrate. Even after two years probationary service they are not yet confirmed. Besides, Appointment order, annexure III stated that they will be governed by the existing rule. When the M.C.S. rule was framed in their services were omitted; how is that? The works entrusted to them such as of A.C.F., B.D.O and Asst.Dy.Commissioner and other duties in various places are not made as their duty posts. It was made in other states. Why this is not practised in our state? Few minutes ago, they said that they were class II. However, the minimum pay scale prescribed for these Civil Service is Rs. 650/- to Rs 1200/- Did they follow that pay scale? Why they were excluded from the M.C.S.Rules? If we see offer of appointment, what is the reason that they are planning to make a separate rule for them?

BRIG.THENPHUNGA SAILLO: Pu Deputy Speaker, M.C.S., Rules were framed in 1977 by Government of India. It is a pity that the position of the officers who are appointed as class II Civil Services in 1974 & 1977 is not clearly mentioned in that rule. They have been placed in the Schedule II. Since the rules have been made it is inevitable to follow it. That is the main problem as I have said, this is being under consideration of the Government we all feel that their condition is not very clear, yet as I have said, there is no help. However, it is intended to re-consider it in every possible way. The pay scale is Rs 550-900/- as recorded by our Government.

PU H.R./MMAWI : Pu Deputy Speaker, In answer to the question (a), Our Hon'ble Chief Minister have said that they were taken on the basis of the results of the competitive examination held for the purpose. Being thus successful in competitive basis, how is it that they are not yet appointed till today? Is it because the M.C.S. rules do not allow or through mistake or omission that they are not yet given appointments?

BRIG.THENPHUNGA SAILLO: Mr.Deputy Speaker, Sir, It seems we are going to answer it again. We don't have to say anything about the method of appointment applied in 1974 & 1977. It was done on competitive examination. The condition therefore is that the M.C.S. Rule was made towards the end of 1977, and they were excluded at that time of making this Rule. They were placed at Schedule II. There is no help at all.

PU H.R./MMAWI : Pu Deputy Speaker, He might not understand my question very well. Most of them were appointed at the time of taking them on the basis of competitive examination, two of them are not yet

appointed. I can give the name of one of them in this House who is not yet appointed till today. From this it is clear that it is not the rule that bars the appointment. I am saying about the M.C.S. 1st batch who are not yet given appointments till today.

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, I understand his question very well; may be he does not understand my answer. All the M.C.S. have been shown in Schedule II and hence could not be encadared as M.C.S. There are only 48 vacancies to be encadared as M.C.S. Those M.C.S. will be promoted according to their seniority and vacancy. Some had been given promotion. Others also will be promoted if vacancy arises. Since they are large in number, promotion would not be so fast. That is why, we are therefore deeply concerned with their condition. We should all try to see ways and means of solving their problems.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, we placed them in the feeder post. Why is it that those who were appointed in the class II post cannot get increment ?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, Their increment is not governned by the M.C.S. Rule but by their service condition. I cannot say the actual condition. If it is not found to be good enough we may take it up. I cannot say anything about their getting or not of the increment. This term of service will govern it. The M.C.S. Rules do not govern it.

PU J. THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, If my understanding is correct, it appears from the reply to the question (b) that there is a proposal to make it as subordinate service. I would like to know whether the offer of appointment of Civil Service Class III under Memo No. M.A.P. 47/75/Pt-1/115 dated 14.6.79 has been cancelled or not ?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, There is no such proposal. We all have the impression that the position of these officers is not good enough and how it will be improved.

PU H. RAMMAWI : Pu Deputy Speaker, to a certain extent it may be quite true that some of them cannot be appointed since there is no vacancy. As I have said a few minutes ago, it is that very clear that there were vacancies for those who have passed the competitive examination held in 1974. Again, in 1977, Competitive examination was held and it is very clear that most of them have been given appointment. Is it not therefore a fact that those who have passed the competitive examination in 1974 cannot be given appointments not because of non-existence of vacancy but because the government have difficulty ?

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Let me just help Minister-in-charge. First Batch had already got increment. That of the 2nd batch is under examination of the government. Although a person

passed the competitive examination he cannot be given appointment even when vacancy arises unless the panel in which he is included is still standing. For example- Many people might get thro' the I.A.S. competitive exam this year. But next year, advertisement will be made, again and some of them will get appointment. It does not mean that the rest of them should be given Appointment if the panels has ceased to exist. If appointments are made again in 1977 it means clearly that there are vacancies. But if the panel is not still standing the passing of the competitive examination is not for life.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : It is already 11:30 now, many questions are left unanswered. Shall we finish it ? Shall we continue the questions or shall we leave it ?

PU NGURCHHINA : Pu Deputy Speaker, If we see our Business, there is no other thing except discussion on the motion of Thanks on the Administrator's Address, and the rest is just laying reports. It seems there's lot of time, so let's continue.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Though the member said that there is nothing much left now, there is an important matter that is Motion of Thanks on the Administrator's Address. I think it is good to continue. However, I feel that we may better economise the time as there is still important discussion and for this reason it will be good if we follow the rule in making supplementary questions.

PU L.L. THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, there are many questions left. Most of the business appended in the list appear to be decidable by Ruling Party in their Legislative Party. If the time has to be economised we must know in future that many items of business can be settled in Legislature Party.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, There is no rule regularly consideration of the business in the Legislature Party. Anyone in this House has right to ask a question. Therefore what the member has said need not be taken into consideration.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Judging from the opinion of the Members it appears that we want to continue the questions.

PU J.THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, What I consider more important than the salary is this -Do the Government intend to protect the identity of the successful MCS Class II Candidates and to appoint them to the post for which they are taken ? I would like our Hon'ble Chief Minister to tell the House about this.

BRIG.THENPHUNGA S.A.ILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, It is not correct to say that their identity as they are taken
CHIEF MINISTER

is to be maintained. We have had Mizoram Civil Service Rules and we have to go by it. What I can say in this regard is that in the present condition they are not content and we also are deeply concerned with this and eagerly looking for redress.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Minister gave assurance. If so, let's stop making supplementary question.
Question No.16. Pu Lalthanzauva's question.

PU LALTHANZAUVA : Pu Deputy Speaker, No. 16 (a), (b) -
Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c of P.W.D. & Powers etc. Department be please to state -

(a) Is there any plan on the part of Government of Mizoram to prepare Thlangtla falls at Tuirivai for production of Hydro-Electric power, and

(b) Is the Government of Mizoram preparing approach Road to Thlangtla falls at Tuirivai from Muallungthu village ?

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the answer is (a)-
MINISTER there is no plan to prepare Thlangtla falls at Tuirivai for production of Hydro-Electric power.

(b) The Government of Mizoram is not preparing approach road to Thlangtla falls at Tuirivai.

PU LALTHANZAUVA : Pu Deputy Speaker, We have heard that there is no plan on the part of government But Superintending Engineer, Electric Department told those village people that there is plan to prepare Hydro-Electric power in that area, and the people gave him high honour. He also used to tell some people that being such an important place it will be linked with motorable road power supply also will be there, with all these facilities you will be able to make gardens successfully. This became the cause of dispute over lands. A village man is now made to survey the road which is being cut now. With what fund is the cutting of the road done ?

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, shall I say the speech of the honourable member is interesting. The government does not know about it at all. We'll see to it.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : If there is no supplementary question; Question No. 17 is asked by Pu Sainghaka.

PU SAINGHAKA : Pu Deputy Speaker, If there is no supplementary questions Question No. 17 will be taken up. Whether the government intends to allow central pay scale prescribed in Central Public Works Department Manual/Code for the Executive Engineers to the present incumbents Executive Engineers of Mizoram Public Works Deptt.

- PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the answer to the question of honourable member is this -
MINISTER the matter is under consideration of the Government for implementation.
- PU SAINGHAKA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Supplementary question -
I would like to know whether pay scale for the Superintending Engineer has been considered at the sametime. If this is under consideration of the government, When will the result be out ?
- PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, not only the pay of
MINISTER E.E. but also of the S.E. and Chief Engineer are taken into consideration. And according to the necessity Central Government was also consulted. However, the matter about the pay cannot be decided as it is co-related with the Recruitment Rules. Though I cannot give the exact date and time. The government will take necessary steps as early as possible.
- PU SAINGHAKA : Pu Deputy Speaker, The Ministry of Home Affairs made relaxation to some extent in their office memorandum for scheduled caste and scheduled tribe in July, 1970, whether it was in the case of exam or departmental exam. Did they consult Central Government regarding promotion or seniority?
- PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Regarding the concession
MINISTER made for Scheduled Tribe - it is the same for Mizoram. Besides being Scheduled Tribe, the shortage of workers is considered as a great factor in the consideration of the matter by the government.
- PU NGURCHHINA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Is it true that the Home Ministry has given agreement to their pay scale ?
- PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, it is not exactly the
MINISTER Home Ministry. Works & Housing have given a Green Signal for our task. However, to some extent, the idea of this Ministry is sought for. The reason - changes in one Department may affect other departments and in that way the Centre does not create any particular difficulties.
- DEPUTY SPEAKER : Now let us go back to Question No. 18 of Pu J.Thakunga. As he is not present, anyone to stand for him? If not, we may leave it. Regarding Starred Question No. 19 of Pu Ngurchhina and Pu P.Lalupa, which of you is going to ask ?
- PU P.LALUPA : Pu Deputy Speaker, my question No.19th regarding Re-organisation of Ministerial staff. - Whether or not Government intend to re-organisa ministerial staff of the Government of Mizoram. If so, do the Government consider that it would be better if the question is discussed in the House ?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, regarding the question
CHIEF MINISTER of our honourable member - the reorganisa-
tion of the Ministerial staff is
under consideration by the Government.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Supplementary question. If there is none.

PU P. LALUPA : Pu Deputy Speaker, this is great news.
Can you make it clear how far this has
been in progress ?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, a lot can be said
CHIEF MINISTER regarding the progress, there are three
categories viz- Secretarial Services,
Directorate staff and District staff. We have to consider their
opinions and have done our best. We may not say any further in
accordance with Rule 40(4).

PU NGURCHHINA : Pu Deputy Speaker, still the Second
question, 'If so, do the government
consider that it would be better of the
question is discussed in the House' is yet untouched. It would
be appreciated if he answer the question.

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, That I have already
CHIEF MINISTER answered with Rules 40(4).

DEPUTY SPEAKER : One Short Notice question is left. Pu
J. Thankunga.

PU J. THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Short Notice Question
No.1 - (a), (b) is given below :-

Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c of the
Agriculture Department be pleased to state -

(a) Is it correct that the deputation term of Director of Agri-
culture was already expired but the Director continue his
service without proper extension order.

(b) If correct, why he remain in Mizoram ?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, referring to the
CHIEF MINISTER question (a) of our Hon'ble member -
My answer is 'yes'.

The answer for (b) - Shri S.K. Biswas, Director of Agriculture
could not be relieved for want of a substitute for which the
government is processing activity.

PU J. THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, as per answer to ques-
tion No.1, the Chief Minister agrees that
the Director of Agriculture has been in
Mizoram for a long time without proper extension order. Since
1979, it is learnt that they are looking for a substitute from
Bihar State, still upto this day, this Director continues his
service without getting proper pay. I do not think it is best
to keep an officer whose terms expired already in Mizoram.
Before, when Battacharjee, the first Director left, an 1 A.S.

Officer took his charge. May I ask if it can be done likewise? And for the second, are there not any qualified persons among our Mizos officers? Why it took so long to substitute a particular person?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, the question asked
CHIEF MINISTER by the honourable member is somewhat correct. Certain points need to be considered regarding substitution for Agriculture Director and it is true that we have wrestled for quite a long time. And if it appears that it is going to be concluded we may have to use I.A.S. or Secretary earlier mentioned by the honourable member. But taking into consideration the many reasons. For the interest of the public, we may not point out in detail.

PU BU/LHR/ANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, just after we attained the union territory status, the Secretary and Director charge have been vested under one person. As such I believed that the ministry and not the House is responsible for the matter of substitution. But to give person a high responsibility whose term expired, already is bad for our state and could the Secretary take charge of the directorship can it be done soon?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, What our respected
CHIEF MINISTER member states is quite honourable. Regarding the possibility of taking charges The secretary may take over as was done in other states. The day may come when we will have to think of considering this.

PU SAIKAPTHIANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the answer given by the Hon'ble Minister is quite confusing, it is clear that this particular job has special importance in the Government of Mizoram, but as regards to the substitute, we have a number of experienced Mizo M.Sc. Agri, can not these people take up the Directorship?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, to substitute the
CHIEF MINISTER present Director, many things need to be considered. We are considering this.

PU NGURCHHINA : Pu Deputy Speaker, is there no extension order in his service?

BRIG. THENPHUNGA SAILO: Pu Deputy Speaker, the question of the
CHIEF MINISTER Hon'ble member is true to some extent. This has been pending with the Government of India for a very long time. I myself went there and they informed me that they will try their best. The result have not yet arrived.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : If there is no more supplementary question, we will take up Business No. 2 we shall call upon Pu J.Thankunga to present Fourth Report of the Public Accounts Committee to this House.

PU LAL THANAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I have submitted a Motion of Privilege as per Rule

Now that question hour is over. What is the result ?

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Let him finished the second one to be presented.

PU J. THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Sir, with your permission I, the Chairman Public Accounts Committee, Legislative Assembly, Mizoram having being authorised by the Committee to submit the action taken before on its behalf present this Fourth Report on the action taken by the Government.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Now that Public Accounts Committee Chairman has presented the Fourth Report of the Public Accounts Committee to the House. Let it be distributed to the members. (distributed to all members).

Now comes business No.3. we shall call upon Pu Zairemthanga, Minister-in-charge Parliamentary Affairs to present the Fourth Report of the Business Advisory Committee to the House.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA :
MINISTER Pu Deputy Speaker, it is found that a slight alteration has to be made in the Programme of Business as suggested and requested by the Government. Accordingly, the Business Advisory Committee was held again. According to that Business Programme, discussion on the motion of Thanks on the Address of Lt. Governor will be held on 26,27,28 (Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday) The Business Advisory Committee will continue the discussion in hand from today, the 26th. It is hoped that it will be finished on 27th morning since few members gave their names to participate in the discussion. And if it is so, Lushai Hills District Village Council Amendment Bill 1980 can be discussed on 27th afternoon. The Business Advisory Committee suggested that Government Business be discussed on Thursday the 28th. This is I have introduced for adoption to the House.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : As planned by the Business Advisory Committee let it be given to members. (Distributed to all members).

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I would like to request this House to adopt the programme made by the B.A.C.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Our member from the B.A.C. Pu Joe Ngurdawla requested this House to adopt the latter proposal forwarded by the B.A.C. anyone who oppose this ?

PU ELLIS SAIDENGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I would like to request you to accept the proposal submitted by the B.A.C.

- PU C.L.RUALA : Pu Deputy Speaker, According to the programme received on 27th-it reads - consideration and passing of the Lushai Hills District Village Council (Amendment) Bill 1980. Yesterday, our Hon'ble Minister i/c applied for leave of the House which is not granted yet. Also, it is not yet introduced. As such I do not think this can be passed by the discussion. We have not yet granted him House Leave.
- PU ZAIRENTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, yesterday, did the MINISTER Chairman announced if this was introduced?
- PU C.L.RUALA : Pu Deputy Speaker, you'll call upon him at his Chair to introduce. He will introduce, that was not introduced. After he applied for leave, you will give him a chance for introduction. He should have introduced but it was not done so anyway.
- PU ZAIRENTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the Chairman had MINISTER announced that it was introduced.
- PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the Chair might have made a mixtake. He asked for the permission of the House and we have not yet finalised it. After finalisation, the Chair will request the Minister to give introduction. And this Bill is not yet introduced.
- PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, our honourable members states that he applied for leave of the House. However, the Speaker confirmed that it was introduced. Nothing was raised at the time. Also, they did not mention that he was not allowed leave of the House. Hence, it is wrong to raise the issue after having come up to other topics.
- PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Time isn't over yet, it is just a mild mistake. It is that the Minister make leave of the House introduce the Bill. That is why I opposed him while granting leave. It was not said whether he was granted leave or not? Even if he was granted also, still it is not yet introduced.
- PU J.THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Since the decision has been finalised, following rule of the House, what the Speaker announced as introduce is introduced.
- PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, even the Speaker is not allowed to break rules. Here we have rules. To be precise, there is time for discussion of my motion of privilege just after question hours before coming to other business. Since we are minorities, we have been by passed unconstitutionally, and we simply have to take it.

PU C.L.RUJIA : Pu Deputy Speaker, it seems that the House will abide by Rules. Without maintaining rules, I feel certain obstacles may arise. Hence, the Hon'ble Minister i/c should ask the permission of the House as per Rule. After granting permission for introduction, it will be discuss afterwards. By passing the House without getting due approval, the House is dis-honoured. If we don't follow the rules, this House can't be a dignified one.

PU ZAIEMTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, it would seems reasonable had they said it was not introduce when the Chair announced that it was introduced at the House yesterday. It is rather late to make an accusation of not being introduced. Anyway, we will consider it being introduce because the Chair had said that it was introduced. It is very clear in tape. Chair had announced that it was introduced.

PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, that is the reason why I requested you to maintain the rules.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : We'll stop now. They have done exactly in accordance with the rule of Privilege Motion Rules 145. We just seen the Privilege at 10:15 A.M. today which is to be admitted and will be discussed tomorrow or the next day after question hours. We'll have to discuss this again. Now we shall call upon Pu Lal Thanhawla to move this Privilege Motion.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, as honourable members moved on to other subjects, I would like to request adoption of the presentation of the Hon'ble Minister. We shall have to dispose of this first.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Yesterday, that Bill was introduced, Time is already over for discussion. you need not say anymore. We'll call upon Pu Lal Thanhawla to move the Privilege Motion.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I have requested for adoption of the present by our Hon'ble Minister and seconded by Hon'ble member Pu Ellis Saidenga. First, we shall have to dispose of that whether it has been adopted or not.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Whatever it is, that we have already finished discussing the subject earlier. Pu Joe Ngurdawla asked for adoption of our Business No. 3. seconded by Pu Ellis Saidenga. Before that, we will decide by taking votes for adoption.

PU C.L.RUJIA : Pu Deputy Speaker, just a minute ? Will this House be led illegally? Without formal introduction. Why do you consider

it as introduced ? You just cannot introduce from your Chair. It must be from this side, not from there it would wrong if you don't follow the rules. If not, come down and I will take your seat instead. It is all wrong, without rules this House is a mess. Without rules, it cannot be adopted at all, why do you try to make it illegally ? You should follow the rules. So as to maintain the dignity of the House, try to abide by rules from the Chair and the Chair will respected henceforth. What is not introduce neither the Speaker nor the Deputy Speaker cannot introduce. Without provision, why do you insist on leading the House ?

DEPUTY SPEAKER : The meeting will be stopped now and it will be resumed at 12:30.

Recess till 12:30 P.M.

Afternoon 12:30 P.M.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Now, we'll take up Pu Lal Thanhawla's Privilege Motion. Here Pu Lal Thanhawla submitted his motion of privilege, we shall call upon him to move the same.

FU LAL THANHAWLA: Pu Deputy Speaker, under Rule 145 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Mizoram Legislative Assembly, I beg to give notice for the following Motion of Privilege :

"That the report which appeared in "MIRINA" a People's Conference Official daily on 23.2.1980 under the caption "An duh chhe hlo mai " in which the Opposition Party of Mizoram Assembly is accused of conspiring to obstruct the Lt. Governor and the Speaker on the opening day of the 3rd Session of the Assembly is totally baseless and politically motivated aimed at maligning the Opposition Party. It is therefore, a clear breach of Privilege."

Explanatory Note : The report is ill-founded and discriminatory. It contemptuously infringes upon the dignity and privilege of the honourable members of the House.

TEXT OF THE REPORT

Desiring the worst

Had the Assembly session of yesterday been called off and knowing that the position of the ruling Ministry should be insecure, it is known that the Opposition Party are creating obstacles for the Lt. Governor and the

.....21/-

Speaker. But their secret motive had been uncovered at the appropriate time, and owing to the hordes of the P.C.M.L.As who stands ready to guill any unruly scene the moment it was created, the opposition members, unable to control their angers and not having the courage to listen the inaugural speech of the Lt. Governor confined themselves in their own room without facing any hardship, the session passed peacefully, and thus end the moment when the Assembly was at its lowest.

Original copy of HARBINA is enclosed for perusal.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : What he just read out is the text submitted by Pu Lal Thanhawla himself. The three members viz. Pu Lalthanzauva, Pu Ngurchhina and Pu C.L.Ruala are the supporters. May Pu Lal Thanhawla like to have a short speech regarding the matter? (Pu Lal Thanhawla, Pu Deputy Speaker, will discussion follow?) That is upto the House.

PU LAL THANHAWLA: Pu Deputy Speaker, I thank you for giving me a chance to move and accepted my motion of Privilege. As I have pointed out earlier, it was written in newspaper HARBINA, an official organ of the People's Conference. It was completely baseless and the main purpose is to wrect the dignity of a person upon the Government and to show his bad intention in front of the Public. Besides, certain rumours have been spread accusing the opposition MLAs of having vested motives. That I would not add since it was not published in the news paper. Anyway, this is completely baseless and a clear form of defamation in politics. Even if this was reported by the C.I.D. from some sources I would like to know who this people are. Besides, this news paper encroach integrity this House, dignity and privilege of the members. This is why I have moved this motion of Privilege. From where these accusation came who created these false statement? Why is that he wanted us to have an intention of the wrect? I hereby requested the House to have a hand and stop these kind of accusation anymore.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Earlier we have discussed and accepted your text. Shall we give this to the Privilege Committee or shall are continue? Give your opinions?

PU C.L.RUALA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I think it would be better if we discuss in the House because not only one member, but more than ten (10) members are wrongly accused. This calls for a discussion of the House.

PU B.LALCHUNGNUNGA: Pu Deputy Speaker, instead of discussion, better if we give it to the Privilege Committee and it is upto them to decide.

- PU SAINGHAKA : Pu Deputy Speaker, no need for consultation. It's upto you,
- DEPUTY SPEAKER : Anyway, in accordance with the Rules, the Speaker may decide. So, this Motion of Privilege will be discussed by Privilege Committee first. Regarding our business, the opposition members have made it clear in the forenoon. It is a fact that yesterday our opposition members staged an walk-out in the House and we simply consider it as being passed. The House have committed mistake.
- PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, we are honoured for you dignity. I am grateful for your restraint in accordance with the Rules.
- DEPUTY SPEAKER : Now we have admitted our mistakes. We shall call upon Pu Zairemthanga Minister i/c to apply for leave of the House since the present Business No. 3. relates to yesterday's business.
- PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the inter-connection of the matter in hand with that of yesterday is beyond doubt. But today's list of Business had been altered by themselves. Unless an amendment is made, it would be improper to rush things to-day as in our rules.
- PU SAINGHAKA : Pu Deputy Speaker, so as to chalk out the programme, the Advisory Committee should be concerned again.
- PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I think the, honourable members have been mixed up regarding the matter concerning leave of the House, nothing is there to get mix up. Whether that is introduce or not, it should be discuss afterwards after introduced. Hon'ble Minister requested to introduce Leave of the House. Which is unclear at present. Whether we agree or not is upto us. If the House gives assent, you'll request him to introduce it We have to solve the matter now.
- PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, what our hon'ble member have states is quite true. But what we have said is-it is no longer included in the list of Business. And does not comply in accordance with the Rules and list of Business prepared by the Business Committee. As pointed out earlier by one of our honourable member, I proposed that the meeting be held again for revision and not opposing it.
- PU LALMINGTHANGA:
MINISTER : Pu Deputy Speaker, the opinion of the honourable member may be true to some extent. But a closer examination of

today's difficulties prepared by the Business Advisory Committee for adoption to the House arises from yesterday happenings. The honourable member have raised his motion which is interconnected from this discussion. Not to be separated, it has come up to our discussion and to do away with our Business, first we have to solve the problem. Here we are all gentlemen and the obstacle faced herein may be solved following the proposal of the Speaker.

PU C.L.RUALA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I am grateful to you for pointing out the previous slight error. I strongly believed that our House though small may be a respected one if we abide by Rules. Those who have made a false accusation from outside will see to it that this House has maintained its dignity. Yesterday, our visiting M.Ps have exclaimed the respectability of the House. The fact is it has been led in accordance with the Rules. I think we should take this as a tradition. The present topic, for example, is also not listed in to-day's Business hence the Business Advisory Committee will sit to-day to make a correction following the Rules which may be open to-morrow. If we are going to accept which is not listed in the Business, the dignity of the House is completely lost. In order to make the House and its Committee respected, I think we should abide by Rules. Hence, the Committee be held again for setting up the time to move and acceptance from our side so that the House may be respected.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the honourable members have got mixed up. There is no need for re-consideration by the BAC. Introduction has been requested by Leave of the House. The case is still pending by the BAC which will solve the problem. Introduction has been requested which is yet to be decided by the House. Whether the introduction is allowed or not is too small for the B.A.C. for re-consideration. Hence this may be solved now as there is no difficulties. It should be noted that the decision of the B.A.C. is not yet final as the body is only Advisory and there is no need for putting this one. It is just that it's not yet introduced. We can solve this now.

PU B.LALCHUNGNUNGA: Pu Deputy Speaker, No protest voice was heard regarding leave yesterday. Though not appropriate but today they have started. I think you have put it for discussion out of your sheer consideration. Hence, let us discuss this today. In fact, if this has been taken up yesterday, we could have finish it the same day but they had staged a walk-out. I believed such things like walk out and boycott wreck the dignity of the House. Hence it would be better for the interest of the discussion not to stage any boycott anymore. In my opinion, such happenings dis-honoured the House.

PU LALNILANZAUVA : Pu Deputy Speaker, Leave was not granted at the appropriate time at the House yesterday instead each of us

have opposed it. Still this introduction was listed on yesterday's programme which was not done so. Today we are going to take another programme. And in that programme, the introduction was not listed. If we are going to follow the word of our honourable member by having a discussion today, it is not possible as this was not listed yesterday. Hence, if we are to go in accordance with the Rules, let the Committee put up this in the programme either tomorrow or the next season. We may then discuss accordingly. In that way, the House will be honoured. Without which if we are going add something which was not listed in the programme just because it has been touched before, surely the dignity of this House will be defamed.

PU F. MAISAWMA : Pu Deputy Speaker, if we are going to
MINISTER insist on word by word, it would be
better if the Privilege Motion is
cancelled. If some particular things like this happened, your
judgement is widely accepted.

PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, naturally, the
judgement can not be challenged as
it was based on Rules.

PU LALTHANZAUVA : Pu Deputy Speaker, now, he is challenging
which was already executed...

PU ZATREMTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I feel our topic
MINISTER for today is rather small. In our
Business No.3, 44 B.A.C. Report have
been submitted. Though it was presented, we failed to reach
an agreement. If we are to follow the matter in hand, as
requested by our opposition member this report can't be done
nor exists. Just reporting without mentioning whether it
was accepted or not would be a breach of rules. If we are to
discuss the report as stated earlier by our honourable members
and in this report, the Village Council Amendment Bill
was supposed to be discuss and be pass on the 27th which
remains blicked. Hence, pertaining House leave whether that
was allowed or not or any other matters if nothing can be
done today, the Report of the B.A.C. would be meaningless.
This was shown clearly in our Business. Not only it would be
a breach of rules but the House will be dishonoured if the
matter be by-pass groundlessly despite having clearly shown
in the business. In that case, as pointed out earlier by our
honourable members, though the House Leave nor introduction
was not found in today's business I believed there is nothing
to oppose if you give assent to your presentation which is
closely related with the B.A.C. Report. And I do not think
the House will be dishonoured either by giving your assent or
by shunting the matter baselessly which was shown clearly in
this Business.

PU J. THANKUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the opinion of our
honourable opposition members are
respectable. If is a fact that the
Minister i/c has given an introduction so as to give them

.....25/-

a chance of speaking their desires. During the discussion hour they can express whatever they like, the admissibility or otherwise of this though it does not mean passing of the matter. I have said only that the matter be received in order that the discussion may come up. Without which they cannot criticize whatever points they like. That is quite similar. Hence, it would give them a better chance if they accept the said matter after which they can discuss.

PU HIPHEI : Pu Deputy Speaker, discussion hour, if so, permitted may be had anyway. The House can not be dignified if we agreed together contrary to the Rules. The dignity, instead, arises from our conduct following the Rules. It is a fact that the present B.A.C. Report is going to be a force as it has been wrongly presented. To make it proper, the B.A.C. again should be held to make a correction. Therefore, I believed we are all for amendment as the rule permits. Besides, Pu Deputy Speaker, reading our Rule 26,29 pertaining the way the Business Advisory Committee presented the matter, a member of the Business Advisory Committee appointed by the Speaker should make a presentation as our Hon'ble Minister of Parliamentary Affairs is not a member of the B.A.C. Here they have said Ex-officio, read Rule 216, you will see that there should be six including the Speaker. Speaker will be the Chairman. How this can become? It is said that there should be six members including the Speaker. Here you have shown 7. That's what we don't like we said, let's abide by Rules for the sake of our respectability. Therefore, let's tread carefully, and not having a simple debate. It is quite alright so long as we abide by Rules. We are discussing how it was wrongly presented. The relevant of which had been pointed out the other day. (Pu Joe Ngurdawla : Pu Speaker, please let me speak about this). Pu Deputy Speaker, I am still standing, he is not to contradict me while I stood. This can be done after I sat down, after all, we are all members. Let it be presented accordingly, we can easily accept. Then we'll have another better time. Otherwise, it would be improper to discuss which is all wrong viz. the way it comes, the mover and the time of submission. It is my desires that the matter be corrected.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, it is known that our honourable members have gone further and may even goes further, just because he is an Ex-officio member nothing is there which says he can not make a presentation. Even the House followed this as a convention. Why is that the honourable member did not know about this and raise the subject again. This is not unruling but a rule. Instead I think the aggravation of it by our respected members have brought dishonour to the House. Here in this Bill, it is not the duty of the Business Advisory Committee on its sittings, to discuss whether the introduction of the Leave of the House should be considered or not.

The fact that the honourable members had walked out yesterday when on the verge of discussion does not mean that they had not given leave to it. However, it has gone wrong technically which the Speaker himself admitted and duly asked for the introduction. House Leave should simply be given and if we object, that too will be discussed. Those who want to receive and those who object may be decided by taking of votes. If found unworthy, they may say so. Unless which there is no rule for re-consideration by the Business Advisory Committee. If they wanted to say so, let them say the introduction to the Leave of the House is not possible and give us a time for discussing the matter. As they desires, sooner or later this will come up again for discussion anyway. Hence, is there any harm if we discuss it now? Let us put up for discussion and they will express their ideas/notions which will be taken up. That would be alright.

PU C.L.RUALLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, here we have Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business. Wherein what is written is rules. If we are going to pursue which is not listed in the Rules book, I think we may have a rather lengthy rules, say, not listed in the Rules book i.e. Ex-officio. Besides, there may be seven members is also not listed which I have mentioned for its co-interconnection. It would be better if we tried not to accept what is not listed in our Rules book. As per my request earlier, the House would be most respected when you led the House in accordance with the Rules. Therefore, let the B.A.C. be convene in order to make a proper estimation of to-morrow's Business. We may then discuss together. Yesterday, when our Minister requested leave of the House we have voiced our disapproval though this was stopped. No introduction was made, however, and we said that introduction should be made first by pointing out our desires to go in accordance with the Rules. Let us all try to avoid the unlawful hence whosoever, the members, the House and those on the Chair may agreed upon.

PU C.VULLUALLA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the discussion on the Fourth Report of the Business Advisory Committee have been in process. In the discussion, there was one deadlock which is under consideration. We have learnt the root cause of the deadlock in the B.A.C. Report. Yesterday, when the hon'ble Minister asked leave of the House and was about to introduce the Bill, the respected opposition leader, without asserting any reason thereof, simply went out followed by the others one after another. I doubt if they knew the reason? Perhaps their behaviour might have deflected our attention resulting a slight mistake on our part. We thought that leave was granted and introduced. Being on a constant watch, they have detected our mistakes which is quite alright. This is truly a noble work of an opposition parties, duly correcting the mistakes and dignifying the House. This makes democracy enjoyable. The fact that we have been a bit negligent may be due to their alertness. On account of their ability to point but the necessity, you have corrected the mistakes from your honourable chair. Hence, when we are going to pass the B.A.C. Report, you would like to correct the mistakes

which have been omitted yesterday. Unless the hon'ble Minister makes an introduction of the Bill, the B.A.C. Report is yet to be adopted which means the discussion on the B.A.C. Report is pleasant. Therefore, allow us to raise our hands to show our agreement or disagreement to the leave of the House asked by the Minister for introducing the Bill. Now, it is clear that the Business Advisory Committee Report may be adopted.

PU S.VADYU : Pu Deputy Speaker, the errors are causing much heated exchange. Far from correcting the errors, it seems a replacement of errors by errors. It would be better if Rules are consulted so that it may come accordingly.

PU K.BIAKCHUNGNUNGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the business for today shows those omitted yesterday. Hence, the matter has inter-connection. The fact that the Minister may give introduction is quite proper. I deemed it unnecessary for the B.A.C. to have a separate discussion on the matter as it was co-related with yesterday's business. You have solved the problem by taking it as one Business. Though the speech of our opposition members proved reality to some extent let them consider that particular point. If you say I have taken this as one subject as it was related to yesterday's business, hence it is not necessary for the B.A.C. to have a revision, it is quite proper and there is no breach of rules.

PU C.L.RU/LA : Pu Deputy Speaker, we have pointed out two mistakes which I think would be better if you acknowledge. Firstly, regarding the Bill, as it was not yet introduced we have said it would be improper to move the Bill which you acknowledge. To make a correction means it will have to come up in accordance with the Rule. Thinking that it may be moved even the B.A.C. thought that it was introduced and decided to end the issue. As it was not so, we said that this will have to be revised from the beginning. And Secondly, you should have acknowledge the errors which was pointed out. Our Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business Art. 216 clearly shows that there should not be more than 6(six) members in the Business Advisory Committee. Because of this, we have mentioned the mover of the House with a request for correction so that the House may be respected. It would be appropriate if you take an exaggerated view in regards and made a contradiction.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, as stated earlier
MINISTER by our hon'ble members there are certain rules in regards beyond which there are miscellaneous in the form of convention. This has been clearly shown in our Rules book i.e., including the Speaker, there should be six in numbers. The Secretary

associated with Lok Sabha procedures and the Parliamentary Affairs Minister are the B.A.C. members as proposed. To proceed accordingly as specified in the Rules, the Speaker is the designated Chairman of the B.A.C. and in the absence of the Speaker, no Committee can be held. In the absence of the Speaker when the need for convention of the B.A.C. arises one of the members may be nominated as an acting Chairman, which was a practised convention. Following the practice, our Committee Officers guided the Committee until yesterday. Looking at the Rules as a guiding principle which says the recommendation of the Business Advisory Committee should be passed by the House. Is there any? No, nothing is there. Hence, although there exists convention and rules in this regards, there are better things and accordingly, we have been guided by the practice. A resolution yet to be passed should be submitted by a particular member is also a tradition as well. However, no mention of the mover is found in this book. We may miss the goal while trying to rely upon the Rules.

PU HIPHEI : Pu Deputy Speaker, we are getting into the conventional practice beyond our Rules. In the absence of the chairman, further provision is there to appoint one of the members as acting Chairman as in other Committees. Here in the B.A.C. this was not so and unless there are written rules, the conventional practice should not be applied. Out of which if there are other business, the Convention and other Parliamentary practices may be used. Our intention of going as we pleased may not be right so far as the written provisions are concerned. Let us try to make a correction.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Well, we will come up to our Business No.3. This has an inter-connection with the one in which we have admitted our mistakes and asked for an apology. Yesterday, I have declared that as the leave and introduction have been done, the matter will be discuss at another date. Also, this has been clearly stated in our Rules, page 15, Section 28th in which the Speaker, in exceptional cases, may alter the Business. In exercise of this power, the Lushai Hills Village Council Amendment Bill submitted by the Minister i/c Pu Zairenthanga may be discuss following previous references. Now, we shall request him to take leave of the House.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA : Mr. Speaker Sir, I beg to leave the
MINISTER House to introduce the Lushai Hills
District Village Council Amendment
Bill 1980. Thank you.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Now, he is begging leave of the House,
anyone against it?

PU C.L.RUALA : Pu Deputy Speaker, we have stated our
disapproval yesterday and the reason

.....29/-

is clear in the proposal of this Amendment Bill. The unusual process of its introduction has been clearly defined. We deemed it unnecessary to co-operate with those who opted for the unusual procedure. Regardless of the fact that there is the Administration and Justice Rule 4(2) the Village Councils were dissolved. Though there is no such provisions for substitution, it has been made against the Rules. Hence, instead of admitting this Bill at present, let them think over again and at the same time, following the usual procedure, the Opposition's view may be sought for in order to make it smooth before going to the House.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Anyone for it may say so.

PU EUALHRANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, As a matter of fact, regarding the Amendment and Dissolution of the Village Council, we used to say that it was unlawful though I do not think we are in position to discuss whether it was against the Rules or not. Frankly speaking, much can be said about these and the final interpretation of the writings in black and white rests with the Court. Regarding the final authority of the Government in its decision, either the legal validity or otherwise of it may not be challenged as we are not competent enough for it. The decision of the Government have been executed after careful consultation with the lawyers concerned. Hence, the accusation that it was unlawful may not be proper as it goes along the Rules. Wherefore, let the Bill be introduced so that we may have a careful discussion as it is.

PU LALHMINGTHANGA:
MINISTER : Pu Deputy Speaker, I think we have treaded beyond the subject matter and regarding the legality, our hon'ble members will have a suitable opportunity in the discussion. Hence, to start with our discussion, the need for introduction arises what we are going to decide is whether the House would like to introduce or not and the internal of which will be compete by our honourable members. In short, it would be appropriate to decide whether we are going to give leave of the House for introducing the Bill.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : The Minister had asked for Leave.No one against it or are we going to decide by taking of votes ?

PU C.L.RUALA : Pu Deputy Speaker, sure we are not going to beat you by taking of votes. We have been led illegally hence we can not approved.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Regarding illegality, Rules of Procedure page 16, section 28 may be referred to. Hence those who approved say ' Agree ' (The members agreed).

Now, we shall request him to introduce

the Amendment of the Lushai Hills District Council Bill, 1980.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, I have introduced
MINISTER the Lushai Hills District Council
Amendment Bill, 1980.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : If that is so, that Rules was dis-
tributed yesterday. Anyone who have
not yet received the copy ? (Pu

Ngurchhina; Pu Dy. Speaker, I have not yet seen the copy)
He may be given. Now, we will proceed to our Business No.4
i.e., discussion on Administrator Address (Pu Joe Ngurdawla:
Pu Deputy Speaker, we have not yet disposed the Business
Advisory Committee) My mistake. Regarding the recommendation
of the B.A.C. held yesterday and presented to the House by
the Minister i/c Parliamentary Affairs, anyone who can not
approve ?

FU HIPHEI : Pu Deputy Speaker, we cannot approved
as it was wrongly introduced.

PU JOE NGURDAWLA: Pu Deputy Speaker, now they are
making a stand in regards to the in-
troduction which was approved and
duly introduced. Now, I have made the adoption motion
seconded accordingly by the honourable member. That thing
can not be considered instead whether we are going to ap-
proved the adoption will be discussed. The honourable member
used to muddled up the past already admitted by the House
and that is contempt of the House. Nevertheless, we will
pursue the relevancy say whether we are in for adoption or
not.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Pu Ngurdawla, a member of the B.A.C.
seconded by Pu Saidenga made a request.
Hence, those who opted for the adop-
tion, say "Agree" (The members agreed).

Now, we will proceed to the Business
No. 4 and yesterday six members viz Pu K.Lalsanga, Pu C.
Vulluaia, Pu B.Lalchungnunga, Pu J.Thankunga, Pu Ellis Sai-
denga and Pu Joe Ngurdawla have made a speech in pursuance
of the Lt.Governor Address. Still, many of us have been
left. We shall now request Pu Bualhranga to have a speech.

PU BUALHRANGA : Pu Deputy Speaker, yesterday we have
had a discussion on the Address of the
Hon'ble Lieutenant Governor. I am
glad to hear the dedication of the responsible persons in
the Government who have extended their might to make a pro-
gress through immense hardships. Yet owing to certain dif-
ficulties, one's desire may not be fulfilled unless having
a responsibility. Particularly, in the proceedings of the
government, the staffs are the sole machineries to determine
a successful development for the interest of the public. The

success of which depends upon our position in the administration. Instead of putting a blame to the responsible persons but due to lack of time, our dedication in serving the needs of the people may suffer a slight setback. In particular, the P.W.D. have made a tremendous progress in regards to the construction of roads. The estimation comes from top to bottom and the real supervisors in the field are known as S.A. And I feel their position needs a careful attention by the government. Here in Mizoram, the number of temporary S.A. in the form of work charge comes upto 204 most of whom have a long years of service. Their status is quite undesirable. In fact, they are working on a Daily Wage basis having a long span of service ranging from 19, 16 and 12 years. The government have no responsibility or whatsoever it is in respect of their families had any ill fortune happened as they are working on a daily wage basis while being a government servant in some part. Those are the pioneers in the field of road construction whom the government strongly needed. Putting ourselves in their midst, one realised their usefulness and responsibility. To give the P.W.D. a new name in the field of development and speeded up the progress as before, the service condition of these people may be considered so far as the recruitment rules are concerned and regularisation should be made as far as possible so that the P.W.D. itself may strive more powerful than before.

In addition, relating to the education, the present educational pattern is far better comparing to the days when a single Primary teacher runs the whole school. The same now has been looked after by 4, 5, 6 and upto 77 teachers. Further still, I really appreciate the recent practice of this Department. The teachers are the guardian of our children who may become the nation's leaders of tomorrow. Hence in the mode of selection, character is as important as the experience is to the justified person. Here, the departmental authorities have made a clean panel free from outside interference which is quite remarkable and I hope the practice may be kept up henceforth. In particular, as the teachers are the guardian of our children, the authorities should pay a closer attention to their characters as well as the certificate from the Church. Generally, in the Mizo society, a person closely associated himself with the Church and religion is usually reliable as believed. In regards to the recruitment of teachers, I fully appreciate if the departmental authorities attached more importance and made it essential a certificate from the Church showing their services rendered.

Another thing I would like to mentioned in the Address of the Lieutenant Governor is, on the last para, point-20 regarding the Railway Out Agency though little has been said I have attached special importance to it. A careful consideration reveals that this concerned the whole of Mizoram. To make the Railway Out Agency a reliable source for the public and the government alike, its machineries should be re-constructed which I believed, will be quite valuable.

Things are quite cheaper in Imphal though Dimapur rail head is a far distance comparing to Mizawl whose rail head is Silchar. The fact that their Railway Out Agency have functioned successfully to overcome the needs of the people and the government alike. Generally, the rail heads are more or less same, say, while we depends upon Silchar the plain people of Imphal relied upon Dimapur. Now the cost of transportation is incredible. Between Delhi and Mizawl alone, the cost of transportation comes upto Rs 160/- and Rs.180/- per quintal which results in price hike. The factor which determine the price index is the high cost of transportation. Those living in the remote areas have had no sufficient alternative to bring down the rising tide. The solution and the only way out is to determine the entire machineries of the Railway Out Agency. According to my information, one officer was deputed to undergo a training course in regards to the Railway Out Agency in around 1975 though no appointment has yet been made. In order that the public may utilise the necessity and to make it more effective than before, due consideration should be paid so that the high cost of transportation may be reduced to a certain extent. And in economical sense, every family may be relieved of their burden. If due consideration be paid in regards to this Railway Out Agency and a proposal for enlargement, if necessary be made, I feel it would be greatly appreciated.

PU SHNEHA KUMAR : Pu Deputy Speaker, Lt. Governor Address main joina point dekha hain, yeh sob a chha hain. Hamara Chakma District Council ka area main No. 4 Constituency mein jetna kam karna hain. Chief Minister zake ete dafa dekha hain, weha mein zeina kam karna hai, jetna baki hai, abhi admi log sath me lab. Jya rasta karna ka us time Chakma District Council area me Zera bal road bhi hai ho, kya tamsha hogya hai, tamasha to hai ho. Sabko develop karna hai, abhi, sirif ek road hai Lungsen se Chawngte, Abhi P.W.D. ka rasta hai, abhi tak complete hua hai baki hai, immediate karna hai. P.W.D. ka Sub.Division, Chawngte rasta ke lie pawila session me me khi bola hai, aj bhi bhola hai, abhi bhi bhola hai. Abhi Sub-Division nahi honise nahi rakha se kon dekhoga Aur budai karo Domagiri to Pharva, Pharva taw koi road nahi hain, Vaseitlang New Janga Pharva uah rasta baneka koi muskil nahi. Uthar koi brisbhi nahi ekdum phahar ka uper me sida da sakta hai. Uthar B.R.T.F. nahi honise P.W.D. honise bi joldhi rasta hojyaga.

Electricity denika bhat hai - Lt. Governor Address me bhi hai gaya sal me donoga. Bhat tha, abhi to kuch likha nahi hain, dega, dega mukse bholai a Minister log kanse suna hain Kanse to nahi hota hain, kya hogya.

Treasury Chawngte Treasury bahut jarur hain muje Lunglei se lenikelya rasta me bahut muskil hogya, Treasury furniture Lunglei me ratna hai, abhi tak Lunglei me hai. Lunglei me karegana kya joldi denisse bahut a chha hojyaga uthar.

Industry Sub-Centre Chawngte khulnise mere khel me bahut achha hoga, aur C.D.C. area me Education kalya bahut kanti tara C.D.C. area me two M.E.School hai, koi koi Vaseitlang New Jogna shri Pharva bara bara tin charso family baitha hai uthat. Koile bhi bola hai uthar M.E.School rakna kalye. Junior High School hai, M.E.School nahi honi-se keise karga.

Aur, Supply ka bhare me ham dekha hai, Mizoram me jetna Sub-Division hai sopkolya kuch kuch milta abhi supply ka ration is Chawngte Sub-Division me 1 Kg. bhi nahi hai.

Development ka bhat ham abhi chhor-dia, abhi khani kalya muskil hoga, khana bhi nahi milta hai kawp develop hoga. Chawngte Civil Supply mere khel me phata phat nahi denise atni ko muskil jojyaga. Dur dur bosit me to kuch hai khana abhi Chawngte area men kuch nahi hai 1 Kh. bhi nahi pongche hai rasta bhi nahi hai, keise pongchega. Plain se to deny nahi sakhega rasta pahaile hona hai Lungsen se Tuichawng, Chawngte top supply nahi jaiga, Table se keise cholega, abhi kuch hoga to jhade jaiga. Basa nahi hai islia balne nahi sakta hai. Chakma District Council ko No.4 Constituency area kalya bahut bhat hai. Lekhin ctna basa nahi hai, islia bholni nahi sakta hai, bholnise to aj ek kanta se complete nahi hoga to Minister log me thora iat karna hai, kuch dye kaike nai, dil me kuch dekhie iat kerie kya kya ek sal hua kia dia kuch nahi dia. Power me boithega to kuch responsibility lonise sap dekniga achha, koi koi jaga dekhega, koi koi jaka me kuch nahi dega mai bab ko bacha, bachi phu - chega to sap ko moi khana nena hai. Ek bhi nahi denise to moi mor jiga mai bab ko mafik hai job power me baitha hai.

PI L.THANMAWII : Pu Deputy Speaker, I am extremely happy to learnt that I have been given a chance of saying a few words on the discussion in respect of the Address of the Honourable Lt.Governor. Here, a brief glance at the Lt.Governor Speech shows that our government had faced a considerable hardships in the previous year. Yet, owing to the dedicated zeal of each and every department, these obstacles were overcome. In general, I have nothing against the Address of the Lt. Governor, instead all are pleasant notes. The fact that I wanted to emphasize the speech simply means I wanted those works to become a reality.

It is a wonder that nowhere in the Mizoram history mentioned any listed on Para 10. Dubbing the rivers of Mizoram as unsuitable for construction of dams, powers received though few have been warmly appreciated. It is heartening to note that progress have been made to create a power of our own. If the foundation is based on reality, I have no doubt that one day all these hopes will come true, and which will be a great progress from either sides. As far as the developmental progress is concerned, it is distressing to note that our opposition have nothing to appreciate. I was thinking what would please them and it was as if they have selected the verse-'nowhere will they

.....34/-

find contentment'. For a true Mizo and living in Mizoram, nothing is there to have a displeasure. As mentioned earlier, I have stressed these points just for want of better things and I would like to add a few things in this regard. I feel that a settlement of junior family to permanent cultivation is of great importance. In this respect, I feel that instead of gardening, special consideration be paid to Wet Rice Cultivation. If preparation be made covering all the hilly areas and following the practiced in the plains, our state will become very rich. Here we have seen one important point pertaining to the Agriculture i.e. a crop competition at various level will be organised for paddy potatoes and pulses and based on the performances/ yield etc. will be determined and prizes distributed accordingly.

This has pondered my mind for quite a long time. Giving the money unwarrantedly have had little effect instead, to encourage the field production, those produce more should be richly rewarded hence there will be a competition on field production. This will have a fruitful impact rather than giving away grants or subsidies even before the commencement of work. Last year, I have accompanied Pu P.B. Rosanga and finds that grants on Wet Rice Cultivation or Terracing did not have any advantage and the only one who have yielded a fruitful product resides in Zemabawk and his W.R.C. is far too good to be true. His kind deserved a rich reward. Likewise, the practice may be changed and competition on field production should be encouraged and rewards should be placed on that which will enhanced the farmers to have a healthy competition among themselves.

Further, the need for better roads communication have been stressed and the government is striving hard which is eagerly awaited. Among these, not that the rural areas are less significant, dust are still flying in the hearts of Mizawl town. Though it is known that the government is giving a hand, still it would be better if this thing can be speedied up. To be precise, we realised that changes have taken place through out the country only after seeing the progress in the capital itself. Had all these dusty roads been covered and finished with black topping, the urban and the rurals alike would surely applauded. Fortunately, the Assan Rifles have given a slice of their land and just beyond the A.R. Ground, a sizeable areas are lying which is convenient for road extension. If permission for extension has been granted including the space in front of the Pork Chow Store, it would have been appropriate. Not only that the street is narrow, but owing to blind/ curve, traffic accident might occurred. If special consideration be paid regarding the matter, it would be appreciated as an extension to that area concerned the whole of Mizawl town.

I would like to say a few things pertaining to the Animal Husbandry. Yesterday, the honourable member states that in respect of the Animal Husbandry, we Mizos need no further encouragement and so far as the Governmental action is concerned, this has been most successful. At present, the supply of milk is still less both in

.....35/-

Aizawl and in rural areas. An advanced loan for cattle farming by the Vety. Department is most welcome and for proper utilisation, I believe spot verification needs careful attention. It is said that some verifying officers, unmindful of their business ends at Darlawn without reaching their destination. The result that it would be very difficult to distinguish who is who and whether he is really interested in cattle farming or not as action were based on reports. Hence, may I request the Department concerned to take note of this and ensure a proper verification in the matter.

Regarding Community Development, I fully agree with the honourable members that the younger generation of today had little recreational programme. Games like Badminton or Tennis needs proper organisation and special consideration be paid on Stadiums and grounds. It is a fact that the youths of today have neither the facilities nor encouragement from their parents and having finding nothing else, either they spent their leisure times in Cinema Halls or in somewhere else. I feel that we have not done enough for their recreational activities and would like to inform the government to give more attention in this regard.

Earlier, while the respected members stressed on the clerical staff concerning the Education Department, I would like to start with the buildings. Whether in Aizawl or in rural areas, construction of school buildings should be neat and tidy. Nowadays, we have given away an yearly building grant of Rs. 4,000/-, Rs. 5,000/- or so to rural areas, instead, it would be better if the building grant was given only once covering the cost of cementing the flooring and all so that children will be free from dust particles. Further, furnishing must be good and proper hence if all buildings are constructed likewise, we can spent lesser amount on building grants. Another thing, school where we spent our childhood have had a lifelong effect on our lives and generally, those common with dust particles remains the same even they grew up. It is our duty to ensure that the school children should be distinguish with those children who did not go to school by way of cleanliness and dress and the government may give closer attention in this regard. Though one honourable member have made a remark yesterday, I strongly desires that, in particular, Dawrpui NE School and Venghlui Primary School I needs new buildings. The worsening condition of both need not be referred and as such it is a disgrace to the Department concerned. Both have been used for public meetings, official purposes etc. as no Community Hall exists. Instead of giving an occarional grant of Rs. 5,000/-, the problem may be solved by constructing new buildings.

Another thing I would like to point out is the importance of our Police forces who are the maintenance of law and order in the state. Here in the Lieutenant Governor's Address also, it states that the Government is fully aware of their problems, true, the Police have had many problems in comparison with other State Polices in respect of accomodation and quarters, by far it is different.

I have no doubt that if proper Family Lane and Office Lane have been allotted, the administration will be swift and neat. In pursuance of the Lt. Governor's Address, I am glad to say a suitable amount has been set aside for this purpose. If the matter be accomplished at an earliest, it would be a great improvement for us.

Finally, as I have stated earlier, I have nothing to say nor any grievances. But I feel there is no way out for those who declined to hear and staged a walk out in regards to certain points which are required for the development of the country. Hence, there can be no grievances for each and everyone of us. Nevertheless, a speedy progress is desired for those particular points as an example for the future and it is clear that more good news will be heard in the next session.

PU V. LAJUNZIRA : Pu Deputy Speaker, the fact that this is my first occasion as a nominated member in this House, I will speak my maiden speech. And I have extended my heartfelt gratitude to the President of India and the Lt. Governor of Mizoram who have given me this status.

In respect of the Lt. Governor's Address to this House most of whom are heartening, I would like to express my wistful thinking as well as the problem arises herein. Firstly, Kolasib to Zamuang route have been hold up by the rivers Teirei and Tlawng respectively. Hardly light vehicles ply during the dry season when monsoon arrives thus blocking the road. The same happens to Mizawl via Mamit road. And Mamit to Tuidam road has been hold up by Teirei river only on 17th. December last year did jeep arrives in Tuidam that too on dry season only. I will now read out the Hon'ble Address of the Lieutenant Governor concerning various places as listed in No.9, beginning has already been made for construction of bridges across some of the major rivers and work in two of the bridges namely Tuichawng and Chawngte are still in progress. Bridges across Tuivai, Biate, Tuipui II and Tuivar have also started. In that way, work has started in these areas. Particularly, concerning the western side of Mizoram as referred by Hon'ble Lt. Governor, little has been mentioned earlier. It would be an important centre for trade and commerce if the proposed jeep road have been completed between Tuidam to Kumar Ghat during the present Five Year Plan.

Secondly, construction of Link Road between Saikhawthlir to Chuhvel, Chuhvel to Thihthiang, Thihthiang to K.Tharali and K.Tharali to Darlak is urgently needed as no inter Village path exists. The above villages shown above are all situated in the riverside of Teirei and Darlak is an approached road between Mamit and Tuidam. If Link Roads have been established, it will be a vital road for the people in respect of trade and commerce.

Regarding education - we have one High School at Lekicherra, one in Kawrthah and the other in Kawrto. This year, we have a recognised High School one

each at Rengdil and Kanhmun. We are facing certain obstacles in respect of education also. The fact that there is no Matric Exam Centre in Kawrthah Constituency the students have to spend a certain amount on way to Mizawl. In addition, these students often failed to find shelter when reaching Mizawl. Hence, so far as the education is concerned, we have often lagged behind.

Regarding medical facilities, we have one Dispensary at Lokicherra and I would like to request the Government to give proper attention as we do not have even a single doctor.

Though a Vety Quarter have already been constructed at Lokicherra, yet it has remains vacant. Now the building needs repairing.

Within Kawrthah Constituency viz. Lushaicherra, Saikhawthlir, Chuhvel, Thihthiang and Darlak a number of W.R.C. have been established. Should the Government extended a helping hand, not only the Kawrthah Constituency but the whole of Mizoram will be benefited. The Wet Rice Cultivation at Lushaicherra now on lease to Central State Farm should be returned to the owners at an earliest.

A Permanent Settlement should be made for Riang, Tuikuk and Hrangkhawl.

Following the Government steps for acquiring more power, the people of the western region are eagerly waiting for the power supply within this Five Year Plan.

I am extremely happy to learnt that I have been given the chance of having a speech in regards to the Agricultural product in the western side of Mizoram. If the government showed a keen interest regarding the precious agricultural products, it would be a great benefit for Mizoram. What I mean by agricultural products refers to millet, Chillie and cotton etc. Though little has been produced in other areas, around 5000 to 9000 quintals have been produced in the western sector alone that too in the midst of jhum cultivation. We have yielded certain crops uncommon in other states notably granadilla and eugenia *asperculata* which are rare fruits found only in Mizoram. But to popularise the agricultural products thereby affecting the whole economy, the Government should find the means of disposing and exporting the products. For example, ginger is not that popular until the government finds the means of disposing the said product. Since the entire areas suitable for growing gingers have been cultivated and has been the source of income for many. In a state like ours, jhum cultivation alone fails to serve the needs of the people and the Government must find a way of producing other food products to meet the present economical trend. It is distressing to learnt that many quintals of millet, chillie and cotton produced from the western sector have been sold under price owing to the government's failure to find the appropriate buyers.

Unless we find the solution, we have to part with our product as they desired. I feel it is the duty of the government to find the appropriate buyers for these three crops, fix the rates and finds now to dispose of at an earliest. Should the government accomplish this task, it will serve as the main source of income for the western people and in chille alone will they earn their livelyhood. We can proudly boasts that the country is making a progress when we find how to tackle the problem. This has been the usual procedure now practiced in the already developed countries. A country failing to find the means of disposing its products will never become a developed country. In conclusion, I strongly believed that the respected members presents today will surely agreed to my speech and hoping that the government will take necessary steps, I have said these things.

Thank you,

DEPUTY SPEAKER : Well, it's already 2:00 P.M. the meeting will be adhoumed for today and will be continued on tomorrow at 10:30 A.M.

Meeting; adjourned at 2:00 P.M.